| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/037,971 | STEINBERG ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Scott Alan Knauss | 2874 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u> | | |
| (1) Scott Alan Knauss, Examiner. | (3) | |
| (2) Jonathan Baskin, attorney for applicant. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8/5/04 | Time: <u>8:00 AM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | |
| Claims discussed: 35 and 42 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner suggested minor grammatical and spelling changes. | | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| John D. Ju John D. Lee Primary Examiner | | |
| 22 | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |